UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/089,789	06/03/1998	JAY M. SHORT	D1270-3US	3204
	7590 01/09/200 <b>ORPORATION</b>	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Department			MCGARRY, SEAN	
P.O. Box 910550 SAN DIEGO, CA 92191-0550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/089,789	SHORT, JAY M.	SHORT, JAY M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sean R. McGarry	1635					
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL!  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor,  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a station.  If y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AF	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 8/15/01 and netition decision c	of 8/4/03					
	T <u>o rever and petition decision o</u> ☑ This action is non-final.	<u>1 0/4/00</u> .					
7		ers prosecution as to the	e merits is				
,	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	μ χουγος,	,					
·	line tine						
, <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>43-79</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-79</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P1	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Official Action is mailed in response to the petition decision of 8/4/03. In the petition it was described that a Final Official Action was mailed on 11/05/01. Applicant indicates not receiving such an action and there is no copy of such an action in the Office File Wrapper. The following Action replaces, supersedes and/or is now the Official response to applicants submission on 8/15/01.

The response filed 8/15/01 added new claims 43-80. However the claim numbering was in error. It is noted that no claim 63 was present. Claims 64-80 have been renumbered 63-79 respectively. It is noted that the dependency of newly numbered claim 69 depends from claim 71. Applicant should provide a new claim set in response to this Official Action in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a method of forming a library where step (a) includes normalizing at least one organism marker or a method where step (a) includes enriching at least one organism marker; a method where step (c) includes normalizing at least one nucleic acid or a method where step (c) includes enriching for at least one nucleic acid marker; and, further, a method where step (b) includes isolating genomic DNA or a method where step (b) includes isolating RNA. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In

Page 3

addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 43 is generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the

requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean R. McGarry whose telephone number is (571) 272-0761. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Douglas Schultz can be reached on (571) 272-0763. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/089,789 Page 5

Art Unit: 1635

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sean R McGarry Primary Examiner Art Unit 1635

/Sean R McGarry/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635